UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,575	04/11/2005	Uwe Porst	A36393 PCT USA	4517
21003 BAKER BOTT	7590 07/22/200 S L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFEL	LER PLAZA	VICARY, KEITH E		
	44TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10112-4498			PAPER NUMBER
			2183	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/22/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DLNYDOCKET@BAKERBOTTS.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
N 42 CAL 1	10/511,575	PORST ET AL.		
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Keith Vicary	2183		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app				
This application is abandoned in view of:				
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of N and N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on 	failing or Transmission dated; month(s)) which expired on), which is after the expiration of the		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which places the		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-		
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.				
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). 	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated		
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due			
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1		CFR 1.18(d), is \$		
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no		, <i>,,</i>		
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requallowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on	•			
after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) □ No corrected drawings have been received.				
(b) In the contracted drawinge have been received.				
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of		
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a represe	entative capacity under 37 CFR		
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim 		e the period for seeking court review		
7. 🔀 The reason(s) below:				
Examiner contacted Jack Chen on 7/14/2008, who of a continuation.	confirmed a day later that the app	olication was abandoned in favor		
/Eddie P Chan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2183				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to		

minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20080715